

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/718,955	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JOHNSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sarae Bausch	<b>Art Unit</b> 1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sarae Bausch.

(2) Cynthia Rothschild.

**Status of Application:** allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2006

**Time:** 4:30pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 2, 5-7, 10-12, 17-18*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendment to claims 1-2, 5-7, 10-12 and 17-18 was discussed that would put the claims in condition for allowance. The proposed amendment to the claims was the removal of the recitation of SEQ ID No. 2-6 in each of the claims. The amendment to the claims was agreed upon. Additionally, method claims 5-7 and 10-12 with the proposed amendment of the removal of SEQ ID No. 2-6 would be rejoined with the product claims of 1-3 and 17-18..